arXiv:1601.07672v1 [math.RT] 28 Jan 2016

THE BIJECTION BETWEEN EXCEPTIONAL SUBCATEGORIES AND NON-CROSSING PARTITIONS

ANNINGZHE GAO

Abstract. This note discusses the bijection between the exceptional subcategories of representations of quivers and generalized non-crossing partitions of Weyl groups. We give a new proof of the Ingalls-Thomas-Igusa-Schiffler bijection by using the exchange property of the Weyl groups of the Kac-Moody Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

Representations of quivers have deep relations with the Kac-Moody Lie algebras. Once we are given an acyclic quiver Q, we can define its representation category $repQ$ over a field k. It is an abelian category. Let $\mathbf{A}(mod\Lambda)$ denote the set of all exceptional subcategories of $mod\Lambda$ where $\Lambda = kQ$ the path algebra of Q and $mod\Lambda$ denotes the finite dimensional (left) Λ-modules. Let $K_0(repQ)$ be the Grothendieck group, the symmetric Euler form $(-,-)$ of Euler form is well-defined in $K_0(repQ)$. The system $\{K_0(repQ), (-,-)\}$ then can define a generalized Cartan matrix. The correspond Kac-Moody Lie algbra is denoted by $\mathfrak{g}(\Lambda)$. And the weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}(\Lambda)$ is denoted by $W(\Lambda)$, the Coxeter element is $c(\Lambda)$. We define what we call exceptional subcategories and generalized non-crossing partitions. We consider the following

Main Theorem: There is an isomorphism

$$cox : \mathbf{A}(mod\Lambda) \to Nc(W(\Lambda), c(\Lambda))$$

We will define the isomorphism in Section 2. This Theorem was first proved by Ingalls and Thomas [4] for Dynkin and tame case.Then Igusa and Schiffler [3] proved it in general case. In this note, we will give an elementary and straightforward proof for this theorem.

In Section 2 we introduce some basic definitions and preliminary results to give a definition of the map cox. In Section 3 we recall the braid group action on exceptional sequences due to Crawley-Boevey[1]. In Section 4 we show that there is a natural action of braid group on weyl group which is called Hurwitz transform and this action is transitive on the generalized non-crossing partitions. With the preparation of Section 3 and 4, Section 5 gives a proof of the bijection between the exceptional sequences and generalized non-crossing partitions.

2. Definitions and preliminary results

An acyclic quiver is an oriented graph Q without oriented cycles. We write it as $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$, where Q_0 is the set of all vertices and Q_1 is the set of all arrows. Consider the representation category of $repQ$ over a field k. Let $\Lambda = kQ$ the path algebra of Q. There is a canonical category equivalence $mod\Lambda \simeq repQ$. In the paper we will identify representations of Q over k with Λ-modules.

A representation of Q is denoted by $(V_i, v_\alpha, i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1)$. Here v_α is a linear transform from $V_{h(\alpha)}$ to $V_{t(\alpha)}$ where $h(\alpha)$ is the head of the arrow α and $t(\alpha)$ is the tail of it.

Let $K_0(mod\Lambda)$ be the Gronthendieck group. Then $K_0(mod\Lambda)$ is a free abelian group of rank n=$\#Q_0$. So $K_0(mod\Lambda) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. Given $M \in mod\Lambda$ the dimension vector of it is

$$\mathbf{dim}(M) = (dimV_1, ..., dimV_n)$$

Given two vectors $v, w \in K_0(mod\Lambda)$, the bilinear form Euler form is defined as follows:

$$\langle v, w\rangle = \Sigma_{i\in Q_0} v_i w_i - \Sigma_{\alpha\in Q_1} v_{h(\alpha)} w_{t(\alpha)}$$

For two modules $M, N \in mod\Lambda$, we define $\langle M, N\rangle = \langle \mathbf{dim}(M), \mathbf{dim}(N)\rangle$. The symmetric Euler form $(-,-)$ is defined by $(v, w) = \langle v, w\rangle + \langle w, v\rangle$. The system $(K_0(mod\Lambda), (-,-))$ then defines a generalized Cartan matrix and then we obtain the corresponding Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\Lambda)$. Let Φ be its root system. The dimension vectors of simple objects $\{\mathbf{dim}(S_i)\}_{i\in Q_0}$ are exactly the simple roots of Φ. Given an element $v \in K_0(mod\Lambda)$, if we write $v = \Sigma_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{dim} S_i$, the support of v is the subset of the bases of $K_0(mod\Lambda)$ such that $c_i \neq 0$. We say v is positive if $c_i > 0$ for $\mathbf{dim}S_i$ in its support and $v \neq 0$. Then Φ has the decomposition $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ where Φ^+ is the set of positive roots and $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. The real roots is the root that can be obtained from simple roots by reflections. We denote the complement of real roots imaginary roots. So $\Phi = \Phi_{re} \cup \Phi_{im}$. For every real root v, the equality $(v, v) = 2$ holds. With this notion we have the reflection transforms for all real roots as

$$\sigma_v(w) = w - (v, w)v$$

For each indecomposable module M such that $\mathbf{dim}(M)$ is a real root, we define $\sigma_M = \sigma_{dimM}$. The equation $\Phi_{re} = \cup_{i\in Q_0} W(\Lambda)\mathbf{dim}(S_i)$ holds.

For an element $\omega \in W(\Lambda)$, we define its absolute length $|\omega|_a$ equal to the minimal number l that ω can be written as product of l reflections of real roots. With the absolute length we define a partial order on $W(\Lambda)$ by the following:

$$\omega_1 \leqslant \omega_2 \Leftrightarrow |\omega_1|_a + |\omega_1^{-1}\omega_2|_a = |\omega_2|_a$$

If $\{i_1, ..., i_n\} = Q_0$, then $\sigma_{S_{i_1}} ... \sigma_{S_{i_n}}$ is the Coxeter element in $W(\Lambda)$. Choose one Coxeter element c, we define the set of generalized non-crossing partitions $Nc(W, c)$ as

$$Nc(W, c) = \{\sigma \in W | \sigma \leqslant c\}$$

For $i \in Q_0$, we have simple modules S_i, the indecomposable projective modules P_i with $\operatorname{top} P_i = S_i$, the indecomposable injective modules I_i with $\operatorname{soc} I_i = S_i$. Then $\{S_i\}_{i\in Q_0}$ is the complete collection of the simple modules, $\{P_i\}_{i\in Q_0}$ is the complete collection of the indecomposable projective modules, $\{I_i\}_{i\in Q_0}$ is the complete collection of the indecomposable injective modules.

A module M is called exceptional if $\operatorname{End}_\Lambda(M) = k$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda(M, M) = 0$. An antichain is a set of modules

$$\{A_1, A_2, ..., A_r\}$$

such that $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(A_i, A_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(A_i, A_i) = k$. Recall that given an antichain, the Ext-quiver of it is defined as follows: The vertices of the quiver are the elements in the antichain, and there is an arrow from i to j if $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda(A_i, A_j) \neq 0$. An antichain is called exceptional if its Ext-quiver is acyclic[2]. Then given an exceptional antichain, we can define an exceptional subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ as its extension closure. Then we can show that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under extension, kernel of monomorphism and cokernel of epimorphism, which we call it a thick subcategory. By $\mathcal{A}\leqslant\mathcal{B}$ we mean $\mathcal{A}\subseteq\mathcal{B}$.

A sequence $E = (E_1, E_2, ...E_r)$ in $mod\Lambda$ is called an exceptional sequence if each E_i is an exceptional Λ module and we have $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(E_j, E_i) = \operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda(E_j, E_i) = 0$ for $j > i$. If $r = n$, we call the exceptional sequence a complete exceptional sequence.

Given an exceptional sequence E, we can define a full subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ (denote by $\mathcal{C}(E)$) of $mod\Lambda$ as the thick closure of the sequence. On the other hand, for every exceptional subcategory $\mathcal{A}$, all its simple object $\{S_1, S_2, ..., S_r\}$ is an exceptional antichain, we can relabel it such that $(S_1, ..., S_r)$ is an exceptional sequence.

With the above notions and properties we can define the bijection between the exceptional subcategories and generalized non-crossing partitions.

For every exceptional subcategory $\mathcal{A}$, choose a complete exceptional sequence $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_r)$, define a correspondence

$$cox: \ \mathbf{A}(mod\Lambda) \to \ Nc(W(\Lambda), c(\Lambda))$$

$$cox(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_r}$$

In section 3, we will prove that this is a well defined map and in the last section we will prove that this map is actually a bijection.

3. Braid group action on exceptional sequences

Recall that a braid group B_n is a group generated by $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, ..., \rho_{n-1}\}$ with respect to the following relations:

1) $\rho_i\rho_{i+1}\rho_i = \rho_{i+1}\rho_i\rho_{i+1}$

2) $\rho_i\rho_j = \rho_j\rho_i$ for $|j - i| \geqslant 2$

We introduce some well known lemmas which are taken from [1].

As above,let Q be an acyclic quiver with n vertices. Let $\Lambda = kQ$. First we define the perpendicular subcategory.

Definition 3.1. Given a subcategory $\mathcal{U}$ of $mod\Lambda$. The *right* (*resp.left*) perpendicular subcategory of $\mathcal{U}$ which is denoted by $\mathcal{U}^{\perp}$ ($resp.^{\perp}\mathcal{U}$) the set

$$\mathcal{U}^{\perp} = \{M \in mod\Lambda | \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(N, M) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}(N, M) = 0 \forall N \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

$$(resp.^{\perp}\mathcal{U} = \{M \in mod\Lambda | \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}(M, N) = 0 \forall N \in \mathcal{U}\})$$

Now we say a pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is perpendicular pair if $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{V} = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{U}$.

Use these notation, we can describe the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *If $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_r)$ is an exceptional sequence, then $\mathcal{C}(E)^{\perp}$ ($^{\perp}\mathcal{C}(E)$) is equivalent to $kQ(E^{\perp}) - mod$ ($kQ(^{\perp}E) - mod$) category where $Q(E^{\perp})$ ($Q(^{\perp}E)$) is some acyclic quiver with $(n - r)$ vertices.*

Proof. We refer to Schofield's paper [5,Theorem 2.3]. □

Lemma 3.3. *For a complete exceptional sequence $E = (E_1, E_2, .., E_n)$, we have $\mathcal{C}(E) = mod\Lambda$.*

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on the number of vertices of Q. When n=1, it is easy to see. Now we suppose for $k < n$, the lemma holds.

Let $X = E_n$. Now $E' = (E_1, ..., E_{n-1})$ is a complete sequence of $kQ(X^{\perp})$. By induction we have $\mathcal{C}(E')$=$X^{\perp}$.

Suppose that X is not a projective module. Then by Bongartz completion we have $Y \in X^{\perp}$ such that $T = X \oplus Y$ is a tilting module. Since by the definition of a tilting module, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda \to T' \to T'' \to 0$$

where $T', T'' \in add(T)$, we can conclude that all projectives are in $\mathcal{C}(E)$. Since every module has a projective resolution, the lemma has been proved.

If X is projective, let $X = P(i)$ for some i. Then $X^{\perp}$ is just the category of the representations of the quiver Q' which is obtained by deleting vertex i in Q. Since we have the exact sequence $0 \to radX \to X \to S_i \to 0$, $S_i \in \mathcal{C}(E)$. For $j \neq i$, $S_j \in \mathcal{C}(E')$ by induction. So all the simple modules are in the $\mathcal{C}(E)$. We finish the proof. □

Lemma 3.4. *Each exceptional sequence $E = (E_1, E_2, .., E_r)$ can be extended to a complete exceptional sequence. And for exceptional subcategory $\mathcal{U}$, we have*

$$^{\perp}(\mathcal{U}^{\perp}) = (^{\perp}\mathcal{U})^{\perp} = \mathcal{U}$$

Proof. Since $E = (E_1, ..., E_r)$ is an exceptional sequence, from Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{C}(E)^{\perp}$ is equivalent to the representation category of an acyclic quiver of $n - r$ vertices. So we can choose a complete exceptional sequence F of $kQ(E) - mod$. Then (F, E) is a complete exceptional sequence of $mod\Lambda$. For $^{\perp}\mathcal{C}(E)$, things are similar. The first statement is proved.

For the second statement, it is obvious that $(^{\perp}\mathcal{U})^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. We already knew that there is a complete exceptional sequence having the form (E, F) in $mod\Lambda$ where E is a complete sequence of $\mathcal{U}$ and F is the complete sequence of $^{\perp}\mathcal{C}(E)$. Then by Lemma 3.3

$$^{\perp}\mathcal{C}(E) = \mathcal{C}(F)$$

So $(^{\perp}\mathcal{U})^{\perp}$=$\mathcal{C}(F)^{\perp} \supseteq \mathcal{C}(E)$ Then the second statement is proved. □

Lemma 3.5. *If $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_{i-1}, X, E_{i+1}, ..., E_n)$ and*
$E' = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_{i-1}, Y, E_{i+1}, ..., E_n)$ both are exceptional sequences, then $X \cong Y$.

Proof. By passing to $^{\perp}(E_1, E_2, ..., E_{i-1})$ and
$(E_{i+1}, ..., E_n)^{\perp}$, we obtain an exceptional subcategory with only one simple object . So $X \cong Y$. □

The following lemma is due to Schofield which is well known, for proof, see[6].

Lemma 3.6. *For any exceptional module M, if M is not simple in $mod\Lambda$, then there exists two exceptional modules X, Y such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(Y, X) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}(Y, X) = 0$ and M is relative project in $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ and there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to Y^b \to M \to X^a \to 0$$

.

Lemma 3.7. *For any exceptional pair (X, Y), there exists a unique exceptional module $R_Y X \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)(resp. L_X Y \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y))$ such that $(Y, R_Y X)(resp.(L_X Y, X))$ is an exceptional pair.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ can be viewed as the representation category of an acyclic quiver with 2 vertices, by Lemma 3.4, X (Y) can be extended from the left (right) to a complete exceptional sequence of $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. Then we finish the proof. □

Now we can introduce the braid group action on the complete exceptional sequences.

Definition 3.8. Given a complete exceptional sequence $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_n)$, we define the braid group actions as follows:

$$\rho_i(E_1, E_2, ..., E_n) = (E_1, .., E_{i-1}, E_{i+1}, R_{E_{i+1}} E_i, E_{i+2}, .., E_n)$$

$$\rho_i^{-1}(E_1, E_2, ..., E_n) = (E_1, .., E_{i-1}, L_{E_i} E_{i+1}, E_i, E_{i+2}, .., E_n)$$

We can check by calculation directly that this is a B_n action on the complete exceptional sequences. Then Crawley-Boevey proved that this B_n action is transitive:

Theorem 3.9. *The B_n action on the set of complete exceptional sequences is transitive.*

Since the antichain of an exceptional subcategory is a complete exceptional sequence, we have the following proposition, see[5].

Proposition 3.10. *For any two complete sequences of an exceptional subcategory $\mathcal{A}$: $E = (E_1, ..., E_r)$ and $E' = (E'_1, ..., E'_r)$, we have $\sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_r} = \sigma_{E'_1}\sigma_{E'_2}...\sigma_{E'_r}$*

Proof. By Theorem 3.9, the braid group acts transitively on the set of complete sequences. And we have the formulas

$$\mathbf{dim}R_Y X = \pm\sigma_Y(X)$$
$$\mathbf{dim}L_X Y = \pm\sigma_X(Y)$$

(Crawley-Boevey's paper)[1]

Fix a complete exceptional sequence $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_r)$. First we prove that for a generator ρ_i of B_n and denote $E^* = (E_1^*, E_2^*, ..., E_r^*) = \rho_i E$, the equation $\sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_r} = \sigma_{E_1^*}\sigma_{E_2^*}...\sigma_{E_r^*}$ holds.

By definition,

$$\sigma_{E_1^*}\sigma_{E_2^*}...\sigma_{E_r^*} = \sigma_{E_1}...\sigma_{E_{i+1}}\sigma_{L_{E_i}E_{i+1}}...\sigma_{E_r} = \sigma_{E_1}...\sigma_{E_{i+1}}\sigma_{\sigma_{E_i}E_{i+1}}...\sigma_{E_r} = \sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_r}$$

So we conclude that B_n action does not change the product.

Then for every two complete sequences $E = (E_1, ..., E_r)$ and $E' = (E'_1, ..., E'_r)$, we have $\sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_r} = \sigma_{E'_1}\sigma_{E'_2}...\sigma_{E'_r}$ because braid group action on the set of complete exceptional sequences is transitively.

Thus the lemma is proved. □

4. Braid group action on the set of non-crossing partitions

As we introduced in Section 2, from an acyclic quiver we can get a Kac-Moody Lie algebra. The aim of this section is to prove that Hurwitzs transformation is transitive in $Nc(W, c)$.

By Φ and W we denote the roots system and Weyl group of the Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\Lambda)$ respectively. Let $\{S_1, S_2, ..., S_n\}$ be the complete collection of non-isomorphic simple modules of $mod\Lambda$. There are natural decompositions $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ and $\Phi = \Phi_{re} \cup \Phi_{im}$ as we discussed in Section 2. According to Kac's theorem, Φ^+ equal to theset of the dimension vectors of indecomposable modules in $mod\Lambda$. Moreover, $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. We write $\alpha > 0$ for an element α in $K_0(mod\Lambda)$ if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha = \Sigma_{i=1}^n c_i[S_i]$ where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ in $K_0(mod\Lambda)$. We write $\alpha > \beta$ if $\alpha - \beta > 0$.

It is well known that $W(\Phi_{re}) = \Phi_{re}$ and $W(\Phi_{im}) = \Phi_{im}$

Lemma 4.1. *The simple reflection σ_{S_i} preserves $\Phi^+ - \{\mathbf{dim}S_i\}$*

Proof. If $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and $\alpha \neq \mathbf{dim}S_i$, then $\sigma_{S_i}(\alpha)$ can not be a negative root. Since σ_{S_i} transforms roots to roots, then $\sigma_{S_i}(\alpha) \in \Phi^+$. □

The following lemma is the well-known exchange property.

Lemma 4.2. *If $\sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}}(\alpha) < 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Phi^+ \cap \Phi_{re}$, then there is $1 \leqslant t \leqslant k$ such that $\sigma_{S_{i_t}}\sigma_{S_{i_{t+1}}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}} = \sigma_{S_{i_{t+1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{t+2}}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}}\sigma_\alpha$*

Proof. Since $\alpha > 0$ there exists $1 \leqslant t \leqslant k$ such that $\sigma_{S_{i_{t+1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{t+2}}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\sigma_{S_{i_t}}\sigma_{S_{i_{t+1}}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}}(\alpha) < 0$. By Lemma 4.1, the equality $\sigma_{S_{i_{t+1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{t+2}}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}}(\alpha) = \mathbf{dim}S_{i_t}$ holds. So

$$\sigma_{S_{i_t}} = \sigma_{S_{i_{t+1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{t+2}}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}}\sigma_\alpha(\sigma_{S_{i_{t+1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{t+2}}}..\sigma_{S_{i_k}})^{-1}$$

The lemma follows. □

We now give the concrete definition of the absolute length (See Section 2).

Definition 4.3. An element $\omega \in W$ has an absolute length $|\omega|_a$ if ω can be written as products of $|\omega|_a$ reflections but can not be written by product of less number of reflections.

Let T be the set of all reflections at real roots in W. The following defines the braid group action on T^n, called Hurwitz transformation. The definition of the braid group B_n is already given at the beginning of Section 3.

Definition 4.4. Given $(\sigma_{\alpha_1}, \sigma_{\alpha_2}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_n}) \in T^n$, the Hurwitz transformation on T^n is defined by for the canonical generators ρ_i of B_n:

$$\rho_i(\sigma_{\alpha_1}, ...\sigma_{\alpha_i}, \sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}..., \sigma_{\alpha_n}) = (\sigma_{\alpha_1}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}, \sigma_{\sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}(\alpha_i)}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_n})$$

It can be checked directly by calculation that this is a group action of B_n on T^n.

Remark 4.5. From the definition of the action, what should be noticed is that

$$\sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}\sigma_{\sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}(\alpha_i)} = \sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}\sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}\sigma_{\alpha_i}\sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}} = \sigma_{\alpha_i}\sigma_{\alpha_{i+1}}$$

so the action of B_n on T^n does not change the product of $(\sigma_{\alpha_1}, \sigma_{\alpha_2}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_n})$ Thus it induces an action of B_n on $Nc(W, c)$.

We now label the simple objects of $mod\Lambda$ in an appropriate order such that $S = (S_1, S_2, ..., S_n)$ is a complete exceptional sequence.

Theorem 4.6. *If $\sigma_{\alpha_1}\sigma_{\alpha_2}...\sigma_{\alpha_n} = \sigma_{S_1}\sigma_{S_2}...\sigma_{S_n}$ where all α_i are positive real roots, then $(\sigma_{\alpha_1}, \sigma_{\alpha_2}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_n})$ and $(\sigma_{S_1}, \sigma_{S_2}, ..., \sigma_{S_n})$ are in the same orbit of the action of B_n .*

To prove the theorem, we need the following definition.

Definition 4.7. A sequence $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_n)$ in $mod\Lambda$ is called a projective sequence if we have the following properties:

For $1 \leqslant r \leqslant n$, let $S(E(r))$ be the set of composition factors of $E_1, E_2, ..., E_r$, and $\mathcal{C}(S(E(r)))$ be the thick closure of all the simple objects appearing in $S(E(r))$. When $S(E(r))$ consists of simple objects, its thick closure is just its extension closure.

1)The number of simple objects appearing in $S(E(r))$ is r.

2)E_r is a projective object in $\mathcal{C}(S(E(r)))$.

3)top $(E_r) \notin S(E(r))$.

Now we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *A projective sequence is an exceptional sequence.*

Proof. Let $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_n)$ be a projective sequence. Take a $1 \leqslant r \leqslant n$. Since E_r is a projective module in $\mathcal{C}(E^r)$, $\text{Ext}_\Lambda(E_r, E_j) = 0$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$. By property (3), top $(E_r) \notin S(E(r-1))$, so $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(E_r, E_j) = 0$ for $1 \leqslant j < r$. Then it follows that E is an exceptional sequence. □

Lemma 4.9. *If $\omega \in W$ with $|\omega|_a = 1$, then for each decomposition of $\omega = \sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$, we can delete some $\sigma_{S_{i_j}}$ such that $\sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_{j-1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{j+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}} = 1$, i.e. $\sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\hat{\sigma}_{S_{i_j}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}} = 1$*

Proof. Since $|\omega|_a = 1$, we have $\omega = \sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}} = \sigma_\alpha$ for some real root α. Since $\sigma_\alpha(\alpha) < 0$, there must be some $\sigma_{S_{i_j}}$ such that $S_{i_j} = \sigma_{S_{i_{j+1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{j+2}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}(\alpha)$, the following holds:

$$\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_{j-1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_j}}\sigma_{S_{i_{j+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$

$$= \sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_{j-1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{j+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}\sigma_\alpha\sigma_{S_{i_t}}...\sigma_{S_{i_{j+1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{j+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$
$$= \sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_{j-1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{j+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}\sigma_\alpha$$
$$\sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\hat{\sigma}_{S_{i_j}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$

Thus the lemma is proved. □

Definition 4.10. For a reflection $\omega \in W$ at some real root, define $l'(\omega)$ to be the minimal length of ω_1 such that $\omega = \omega_1\sigma_{S_j}\omega_1^{-1}$ for some simple reflection σ_{S_j}.

The following lemma is well-known. For example, see [5]

Lemma 4.11. *Given an exceptional sequence $S = (S_1, ..., S_n)$ consisting of simple objects. The Coxeter element $c = \sigma_{S_1}\sigma_{S_2}...\sigma_{S_n}$ has the absolute length n.*

Proof. Set $c = \sigma_{S_1}\sigma_{S_2}...\sigma_{S_n} = \sigma_{\alpha_1}\sigma_{\alpha_2}...\sigma_{\alpha_m}$ where $m = |c|_a$. The lemma is a corollary of the following Lemma 4.12. □

Lemma 4.12. *Given an exceptional sequence $S = (S_1, ..., S_n)$ consisting of simple objects. If $\sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}} = \sigma_{\alpha_1}\sigma_{\alpha_2}...\sigma_{\alpha_s}$ $(i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_t)$ where $s = |\sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}|_a$, then the following holds:*

a) There exists $(\sigma_{\beta_1}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_t})$ in the orbit of $(\sigma_{\alpha_1}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_t})$ and $l'(\sigma_{\beta_i})$ is minimal in the B_{s-i+1} action orbit of $(\sigma_{\beta_i}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_s})$.

b) there exists an r such that $\sigma_{S_{i_1}}...\sigma_{S_{i_{r-1}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{r+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}} = \sigma_{\beta_1}\sigma_{\beta_2}...\sigma_{\beta_{s-1}}$.

Proof. a) Let σ_{β_1} be the element in the orbit of $(\sigma_{\alpha_1}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_t})$ of the action of B_n which has the minimal $l'(\cdot)$. Let $(\sigma_{\beta_1}, \sigma_{\alpha_2^{(1)}}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_t^{(1)}})$ be the element. Let σ_{β_2} be the element in the orbit of $(\sigma_{\alpha_2^{(1)}}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_t^{(1)}})$ of B_{t-1} action that has the minimal $l'(\cdot)$. We do this process inductively. Finally we will get a sequence $(\sigma_{\beta_1}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_t})$ which satisfies the required properties.

b) For each σ_{β_i}, by the definition of $l'(\sigma_{\beta_i})$, there exists a ω_i such that $l(\omega_i) = l'(\sigma_{\beta_i})$ and

$$\sigma_{\beta_i} = \omega_i\sigma_{S_{j_i}}\omega_i^{-1}$$

Then by the assumption in the lemma, we have

$$\sigma_{\beta_s} = \sigma_{\beta_{s-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_1}\sigma_{S_{i_1}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$

Now we apply Lemma 4.9. It is divided into four cases:

Case1: If we delete some simple reflection $\sigma_{S_{j_i}}$, then by Lemma 4.9

$$1 = \sigma_{\beta_{s-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}\sigma_{\beta_{i-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_1}\sigma_{S_{i_1}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$

which contradicts the assumption that $s = |\sigma_{S_{i_1}}\sigma_{S_{i_2}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}|_a$.

Case2: If we delete some simple reflection in ω_i. We write $\omega_i = \sigma_{S_{k_1}}...\sigma_{S_{k_p}}$ where $p = l(\omega)$. Suppose $\sigma_{S_{k_q}}$ is deleted. By Lemma 4.9

$$1 = \sigma_{\beta_{s-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}\sigma_{S_{k_1}}...\hat{\sigma}_{S_{k_q}}...\sigma_{S_{k_p}}\sigma_{S_{j_i}}\omega_i^{-1}\sigma_{F_{i-1}}...\sigma_{F_1}\sigma_{S_{i_1}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$
$$= \sigma_{\beta_{s-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}\sigma_{S_{k_1}}...\sigma_{S_{k_{q-1}}}\sigma_{S_{k_{q+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{k_p}}\sigma_{S_{j_i}}\omega_i^{-1}\sigma_{F_{i-1}}...\sigma_{F_1}\sigma_{S_{i_1}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$
$$= \sigma_{\beta_{s-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}\sigma_{S_{k_1}}...\sigma_{S_{k_{q-1}}}\sigma_{S_{k_q}}\sigma_{S_{k_{q-1}}}...\sigma_{S_{k_1}}\sigma_{S_{k_1}}...\sigma_{S_{k_q}}\sigma_{S_{k_{q+1}}}...\sigma_{S_{k_p}}\sigma_{S_{j_i}}\omega_i^{-1}\sigma_{\beta_{i-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_1}\sigma_{S_{i_1}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$
$$= \sigma_{\beta_{s-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}\sigma_{\beta'}\sigma_{\beta_i}\sigma_{\beta_{i-1}}...\sigma_{\beta_1}\sigma_{S_{i_1}}...\sigma_{S_{i_t}}$$

where $\sigma_{\beta'} = \sigma_{S_{k_1}}...\sigma_{S_{k_{q-1}}}\sigma_{S_{k_q}}\sigma_{S_{k_{q-1}}}...\sigma_{S_{k_1}}$

But by B_s action $(\sigma_{\beta_1}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_i}, \sigma_{\beta'}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_s})$ and $(\sigma_{\beta_i}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_s})$ are in the same orbit. And by the expression of $\sigma_{\beta'}$ $l'(\sigma_{\beta'}) < l'(\sigma_{\beta_i})$. Which is a contradiction.

Case3: If we delete some simple reflection in ω_i^{-1}. This is similar to Case2.

Case4: If we delete some $\sigma_{S_{i_r}}$, it is exactly what the lemma says.

□

Apply Lemma 4.12 b) inductively we can get $s = t$. So apply this to Lemma 4.11 then it holds.

Now we come to prove Theorem 4.6.

Proof. By Lemma 4.12 a), there exists $(\sigma_{\beta_1}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_n})$ in the orbit of $(\sigma_{\alpha_1}, ..., \sigma_{\alpha_n})$ and $l'(\sigma_{\beta_i})$ is minimal in the B_{s-i+1} action orbit of $(\sigma_{\beta_i}, ..., \sigma_{\beta_n})$. Since σ_{β_i} $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ are real roots, there exists a unique indecomposable module F_i satisfying $\mathbf{dim}F_i = \beta_i$.

Now we have

$$\sigma_{F_1}\sigma_{F_2}...\sigma_{F_n} = \sigma_{S_1}...\sigma_{S_n}$$

By Lemma 4.8, what we need to prove firstly is that $F = (F_1, F_2, ..., F_n)$ is a projective sequence.

Denote $S(F(r))$ be the set of composition factors of $F_1, ..., F_r$.

By applying Lemma 4.12 inductively, the following properties of $F = (F_1, F_2, ..., F_n)$ follow:

1)The number of simple objects appearing in $S(F(r))$ is r.

2)top $(F_r) \notin S(F(r))$.

By our assumption, $S = (S_1, ..., S_n)$ is a complete exceptional sequence. If $S(F(r)) = \{S_{i_1^{(r)}}, ..., S_{i_r^{(r)}}\}$ where $i_1^{(r)} < i_2^{(r)} < ... < i_r^{(r)}$, $(S_{i_1^{(r)}}, ..., S_{i_r^{(r)}})$ is a complete exceptional sequence of $\mathcal{C}(S(F(r)))$. By Lemma 4.12, $\mathbf{dim}(F_r) = \sigma_{S_{i_r^{(r)}}}\sigma_{S_{i_{r-1}^{(r)}}}...\sigma_{S_{i_k^{(r)}}}(\mathbf{dim}S_{i_{k-1}^{(r)}})$ for some k, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r$. Thus F_r is a projective object in $\mathcal{C}(S(F(r)))$. So F is a projective sequence. By Lemma 4.8, F is an exceptional sequence. By Theorem 3.9, F and S are in the same orbit. If $g \cdot S = F$ for some $g \in B_n$, by $\mathbf{dim}R_Y X = \pm\sigma_Y(X)$, $\mathbf{dim}L_X Y = \pm\sigma_X(Y)$, we get $g \cdot (\sigma_{S_1}, \sigma_{S_2}, ..., \sigma_{S_n}) = (\sigma_{F_1}, \sigma_{F_2}, ..., \sigma_{F_n})$. The theorem is proved.

□

5. Ingalls-Thomas-Igusa-Schiffler bijection

In the previous section, we label the simple modules in an appropriate order such that S is a complete exceptional sequence. In this section, we write $c(\Lambda) = \sigma_{S_1}...\sigma_{S_n}$. By then we have two posets: $\mathbf{A}(mod\Lambda)$ and $Nc(W(\Lambda), c(\Lambda))$.

By Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 3.9 we have

Lemma 5.1. *If $S = (S_1, S_2, ..., S_n)$ is the complete exceptional sequence consisting of simple modules, and for n exceptional modules $\{E_1, .E_2, ..., E_n\}$ we have*

$$\sigma_{S_1}\sigma_{S_2}...\sigma_{S_n} = \sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_n}$$

then $E = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_n)$ is a complete exceptional sequence.

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, $(\sigma_{S_1}, ..., \sigma_{S_n})$ and $(\sigma_{E_1}, ..., \sigma_{E_n})$ are in the same orbit under braid group action. So

$$g \cdot (\sigma_{S_1}, ..., \sigma_{S_n}) = (\sigma_{E_1}, ..., \sigma_{E_n})$$

for some $g \in B_n$. By the formulas in Lemma 3.10:

$$\mathbf{dim} R_Y X = \pm\sigma_Y(X)$$

$$\mathbf{dim} L_X Y = \pm\sigma_X(Y)$$

If $\rho_i(\sigma_{E_1'}, ..., \sigma_{E_n'}) = (\sigma_{F_1'}...\sigma_{F_n'})$, E_i, F_j in T^n, then for modules $\rho_i(E_1', ..., E_n') = (F_1', ..., F_n')$ in the level of exceptional sequence. So $(E_1, ..., E_n) = g^{-1} \cdot (S_1, ..., S_n)$ is a complete exceptional sequence. □

Now we can prove as same as in [5].
Main Theorem (Ingalls-Thomas-Igusa-Schiffler) The map

$$cox : \ \mathbf{A}(mod\Lambda) \to Nc(W(\Lambda), c(\Lambda))$$

is a poest isomorphism.

Proof. If $cox(\mathcal{A})$=$cox(\mathcal{B})$, then we can choose a complete exceptional sequence $A = (A_1, ..., A_r)(B = (B_1, ..., B_t))$ for $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B})$, then we must have

$$\sigma_{A_1}\sigma_{A_2}...\sigma_{A_r} = \sigma_{B_1}\sigma_{B_2}...\sigma_{B_t}$$

By Lemma 3.4, we can extend A to $A' = (A_1, ..., A_r, A_{r+1}, ..., A_n)$, so we can see that $\sigma_{B_1}\sigma_{B_2}...\sigma_{B_t}\sigma_{A_{r+1}}...\sigma_{A_n}$ is the Coxeter element. By Lemma 5.1, $(B_1, ..., B_t, A_{r+1}, ..., A_n)$ is a complete exceptional sequence. So we have

$$\mathcal{B} = ({}^{\perp}\mathcal{A})^{\perp} = \mathcal{A}$$

which proves the injection.

For surjection, if $\omega = \sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_r} \in Nc(W(\Lambda), c(\Lambda))$, then we can extend it to $\sigma_{E_1}\sigma_{E_2}...\sigma_{E_n}$ which is the Coxeter element. By Lemma 5.1, $(E_1, E_2, ..., E_n)$ is a complete sequence. We just need to choose $\mathcal{A}$=$\mathcal{C}(E_1, ..., E_r)$.

Finally we prove that this is a poset morphism, i.e. $\mathcal{A} \leqslant \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow cox(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant cox(\mathcal{B})$. If $\mathcal{A} \leqslant \mathcal{B}$, choose a complete exceptional sequence $A = (A_1, ..., A_r)$ of $\mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 3.4, it can be extended to a complete exceptional sequence $B = (A_1, ..., A_r, B_{r+1}, ..., B_t)$ of $\mathcal{B}$, thus $cox(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant cox(\mathcal{B})$ by the definition. Conversely, if $cox(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant cox(\mathcal{B})$, i.e. $\sigma_{A_1}\sigma_{A_2}...\sigma_{A_r} \leqslant \sigma_{B_1}\sigma_{B_2}...\sigma_{B_t}$ where $A = (A_1, ..., A_r)$ $(resp. B = (B_1, ..., B_t))$ is a complete exceptional sequence of $\mathcal{A}$ $(resp. \mathcal{B})$. So there exists $C_{r+1}, ..., C_t \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\sigma_{A_1}\sigma_{A_2}...\sigma_{A_r}\sigma_{C_{r+1}}...\sigma_{C_t} = \sigma_{B_1}\sigma_{B_2}...\sigma_{B_t}$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \leqslant \mathcal{B}$. We finish the proof. □

Remark 5.2. In fact, all the consequence above can be generalized to the Artin hereditary algebras. Given an Artin hereditary algebra Λ, we can define the Cartan matrix associated to $K_0(\Lambda - mod)$. It is a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix. So there is a Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\Lambda)$. Like what we have done in this note, there is a poset isomorphism

$$cox : \mathbf{A}(mod\Lambda) \longrightarrow Nc(W(\Lambda), c(\Lambda))$$

Acknowledgments

Prof. Jie Xiao first introduced me this topic. Prof. Bangming Deng and Prof. Jie Xiao suggested me Ringel's paper and referred the material that I would need. They have carried on the thorough discussion on this theorem with me. I really thank them for their help and guidance.

References

[1] W. Crawley-Boevey. Exceptional sequences of representations of quivers. *Canadian Math. Soc. Proceedings*, 14:117–124, 1993.
[2] B. Deng and J. Xiao. A quiver description of hereditary categories and its application to the first weyl algebra. *Can. Math. Soc. Conference Proceedings*, 24:125–137, 1998.
[3] K. Igusa and R. Schiffler. Exceptional sequences and clusters. *J. Algebra 323*, 8:2183–2202, 2010.
[4] C. Ingalls and H. Thomas. Noncrossing partitions and representations of quivers. *Comp. Math.*, 145:1533–1562, 2009.
[5] C. M. Ringel. The catalan combinatorics of the hereditary artin algebras. *arXiv:1502.06553*.
[6] A. Schofield. Semi-invariants of quivers. *J. London. Math. Soc*, 43:385–395, 1991.